

Properties of Analytic Univalent Function with Negative Coefficient

S.V. Parmar,

Research Scholar, Department of mathematics,
SPPU, Pune, Maharashtra, India

S. M. Khairnar,

Department of Engineering Sciences
D Y Patil School of Engineering Pune-412105,
E-mail: smkhairnar2007@gmail.com

Abstract

We introduce and study a new subclass of normalized analytic univalent function with negative coefficient. Necessary and sufficient conditions, distortion bounds, extreme points and radii of convexity for the class $ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ are obtained.

Mathematics Subject Classification : 30C45

Key Words : *Analytic function, Negative Coefficient, Uniformly Starlike Function, Uniformly Convex Function*

1. Introduction

Let A be the class of function f normalized by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk

$$D = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}.$$

As usual, we denote by S the subclass of A , consisting of function which are also univalent in D . We recall here the definitions of the well-known classes of starlike function and convex function:

$$S^* = \left\{ f \in A : \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 0, \quad z \in D \right\},$$

$$S^c = \left\{ f \in A : \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 0, \quad z \in D \right\}.$$

Let w be a fixed point in D and

$$A(w) = \{f \in H(D) : f(w) = f'(w) - 1 = 0\}.$$

In [23], Kanas and Ronning introduced the following classes

$$S_w = \{f \in A(w) : \text{is univalent in } D\}$$

$$ST_w = \left\{ f \in A(w) : \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(z-w)f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 0, \quad z \in D \right\}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$CV_w = \left\{ f \in A(w) : 1 + \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(z-w)f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 0, \quad z \in D \right\}. \quad (1.3)$$

Later Acu and Owa [16] studied the classes extensively.

The class S_w^* is defined by geometric property that the image of any circular arc centered at w is starlike with respect to $f(w)$ and the corresponding class S_w^c is defined by the property that the image of any circular arc centered at w is convex. We observe that the definitions are somewhat similar to the ones introduced by Goodman in [1] and [2] for uniformly starlike and convex functions, except that in this case the point w is fixed.

Let S_w denoted the subclass of $A(w)$ consisting of the function of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z-w} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (z-w)^n \quad (a_n \geq 0). \quad (1.4)$$

The functions $f(z)$ in S is said to be starlike functions of order β if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(z-w)f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (z \in D) \quad (1.5)$$

for some β ($0 \leq \beta < 1$). we denote by $ST_w(\beta)$ the class of all starlike function of order β .

Similarly, a function $f(z)$ in S_w is said to be convex of order β if and only if

$$1 + \left(\operatorname{Re} \frac{(z-w)f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \beta \quad (z \in D) \tag{1.6}$$

for some β ($0 \leq \beta < 10$). We denote by $CV_w(\beta)$ the class of all convex functions of order β . We note that the class $ST_0(\beta)$ and various other subclasses of $ST_w(\beta)$ have been studied rather extensively by Netanyahu [25], Acu and Owa [16], Clunie [14], Pommerenke [[7], [8]], Miller [15] Mogra et al [18], Uralegaddi and Ganigi [4], Aouf [17], and Uralegaddi and Somanatha ([5], [6]), Srivastava and Owa [13], pp.86 and Ghanim and Darus [[10], [12]].

For the function $f(z)$ in the class S_w , we define

$$I^0 f(z) = f(z),$$

$$I^1 f(z) = (z-w)f'(z) + \frac{w}{z-w},$$

$$I^2 f(z) = (z-w)(I^1 f(z))' + \frac{2}{z-w},$$

and for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} I^k f(z) &= (z-w)(I^{k-1} f(z))' + \frac{2}{z-w} \\ &= \frac{1}{z-w} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k a_n (z-w)^n. \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

The differential operator I^k studied extensively by Ghanim and Darus [[10], [12]] and in the case $w = 0$ was given by Frasin and Darus [3].

With the help of the differential operator I^k , Ghanim and Darus[11] the class $ST_w(k, \beta)$ as follows:

Definition 1.1 : The function $f(z) \in S_w$ is said to be a member of the class $ST_w(k, \beta)$ if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(z-w)(I^k f(z))'}{I^k f(z)} \right\} > \beta \tag{1.8}$$

($k \in N_0 = N \setminus \{0\}$), for some β ($0 \leq \beta < 1$) and for all z ($0 \leq z < 1$) in D . It is easy to check that $ST_w(0, \beta)$ is the class of starlike functions of order β . $ST_w(0, 0)$ gives the starlike functions for all D .

Definition 1.2 : For $\gamma \geq 0$, let $ST_w(k, \beta, \gamma)$ consist of function $f(z) \in S_w$ satisfy the condition

$$Re \left\{ \frac{(z-w)(I^k f(z))'}{(I^k f(z))} \right\} > \gamma \left| \frac{(z-w)(I^k f(z))'}{(I^k f(z))} - 1 \right| + \beta. \quad (1.9)$$

Definition 1.3 : For $0 \leq \alpha < 1, \gamma \geq 0$, let $ST_w(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ consist of function $f(z) \in S_w$ satisfy the condition

$$Re \left\{ \frac{(1-\alpha)(z-w)(I^k f(z))' + \alpha(I^k f(z))}{(I^k f(z))} \right\} > \gamma \left| \frac{(1-\alpha)(z-w)(I^k f(z))' + \alpha(I^k f(z))}{(I^k f(z))} - 1 \right| + \beta \quad (1.10)$$

Futher, let ST^* denoted the subclass of ST_w consisting functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z-w} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (z-w)^n, \quad (a_n \geq 0). \quad (1.11)$$

Now, let us define

$$ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = ST_w(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \cap ST_w^*.$$

In this paper we provide necessary and sufficient conditions, coefficient bounds, extreme points, radius of starlikeness and convexity, closure theorems for the functions in $ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

2. Characterization

We employ the technique adopted by Aqlan et al [9] to find the coefficient estimates for the class $ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Theorem 2.1 : $f \in ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k \{ (1+\gamma - \alpha(1-\gamma))n + (\alpha(1-\gamma) - (\beta + \gamma)) \} a_n \leq 1 - \beta \quad (2.1)$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1, \gamma \geq 0$.

Proof : We have $f \in ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if and only if the condition (1.8) is satisfied.

Upon the fact that

$$Re\{w\} > \gamma|w-1| + \beta \Leftrightarrow Re\{w(1+\gamma e^{i\theta}) - \gamma e^{i\theta}\} > \beta, \quad -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi.$$

Then for $0 < |z-w| = r < 1$, equation (1.8) may be written as

$$Re \left\{ \frac{(1-\alpha)(z-w)(I^k f(z))' + \alpha(I^k f(z))}{(I^k f(z))} (1+\gamma e^{i\theta}) - \gamma e^{i\theta} \right\} > \beta$$

or equivalently

$$Re \left\{ \frac{[1 - \alpha](z - w)(I^k f(z))' + \alpha(I^k f(z))](1 + \gamma e^{i\theta}) - \gamma e^{i\theta} I^k f(z)}{I^k f(z)} \right\} > \beta. \tag{2.2}$$

Now, we let

$$A(z) = [(1 - \alpha)(z - w)(I^k f(z))' + \alpha(I^k f(z))](1 + \gamma e^{i\theta}) - \gamma e^{i\theta} I^k f(z)$$

and let

$$B(z) = I^k f(z).$$

Then (2.2) is equivalent to $|A(z) + (1 - \beta)B(z)| > |A(z) - (1 + \beta)B(z)|$ for $0 \leq \beta < 1$.

For $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A(z) + (1 - \beta)B(z)| &= \\ &\geq \frac{2 - \beta}{|z - w|} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k(n + 1 - \beta - \alpha(n - 1))a_n|z - w|^n \\ &\quad - \gamma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k(n - 1 - \alpha(n - 1))a_n|z - w|^n \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} |A(z) - (1 + \beta)B(z)| &< \frac{\beta}{|z - w|} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k(n + 1 - \beta - \alpha(n - 1))a_n|z - w|^n \\ &\quad + \gamma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k(n - 1 - \alpha(n - 1))a_n|z - w|^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &|A(z) + (1 - \beta)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \beta)B(z)| \\ &\frac{2(1 - \beta)}{|z - w|} - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k(n - \beta - \alpha(n - 1))a_n|z - w|^n \\ &- 2\gamma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k(n - 1 - \alpha(n - 1))a_n|z - w|^n \tag{1} \\ &\geq \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{|z - w|} - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k(n - \beta - \alpha(n - 1))a_n r^n \\ &- 2\gamma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k(n - 1 - \alpha(n - 1))a_n r^n. \tag{2.3} \end{aligned}$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1$ in (2.3) we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k \{(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma))\} a_n \leq 1 - \beta$$

which yields (2.1).

On the other hand, we must have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{[(1 - \alpha)(z - w)(I^k f(z))' + \alpha(I^k f(z))](1 + \gamma e^{i\theta}) - \gamma e^{i\theta} I^k f(z)}{I^k f(z)} \right\} > \beta, \quad -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi.$$

Upon choosing the values of $(z - w)$ on the positive real axis where $0 < |z - w| = r < 1$, the above inequality reduce to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \beta) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k (n - \beta - \alpha(n - 1)) a_n r^{n+1} - \gamma e^{i\theta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k (n - 1 - \alpha(n - 1)) a_n r^n}{1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k (n - 1) a_n r^{n+1}} \right\} > 0$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(-e^{i\theta}) \geq -|e^{i\theta}| = -1$, the above inequality reduce to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \beta) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k (n - \beta - \alpha(n - 1)) a_n r^{n+1} - \gamma e^{i\theta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k (n - 1 - \alpha(n - 1)) a_n r^n}{1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k (n - 1) a_n r^{n+1}} \right\} > 0$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1$, we get the desired result.

By taking $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we get

Corollary 2.2 : Let $f \in ST_w^*$. Then $f \in ST_w^*(k, 0, \beta, \gamma)$, if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k [(n - \beta) + \gamma(n - 1)] a_n \leq 1 - \beta. \quad (2.4)$$

Taking $\alpha = \gamma = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we get

Corollary 2.3 : Let $f \in ST_w^*$. Then $f \in ST_w^*(k, 0, \beta, 0)$, if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k (n - \beta) a_n \leq 1 - \beta. \quad (2.5)$$

Theorem 2.3 : If $f \in ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ then

$$a_n \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{n^k \{(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma))\}} \quad (2.6)$$

$0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1, \gamma \geq 0$. Equality holds for the function

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z - w} - \frac{1 - \beta}{n^k \{(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma))\}} (z - w)^n.$$

Proof : Since $f \in ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ holds. Since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k \{(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma))\} a_n \leq 1 - \beta \tag{2.7}$$

we have,

$$a_n \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{n^k \{(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma))\}}.$$

Clearly the function given by (2.6) is in $f \in ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \gamma)$. For this function, the result is clearly sharp.

By taking $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 2.3, we get

Corollary 2.4 : Let $f \in ST_w^*$. Then $f \in ST_w^*(k, 0, \gamma)$, then

$$a_n \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{n^k [n - \beta] + \gamma(n - 1)}.$$

Corollary 2.5 : If $f(z) \in ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \gamma)$, then

$$na_n \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{n^{k-1} \{(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma))\}} \tag{2.8}$$

$$0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1, \gamma = 0.$$

3. Distortion Theorems for $ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

Theorem 3.1 : If $f \in ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then

$$\frac{1}{r} - r \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r} + r. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof : Since $f \in ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, by Theorem 2.1,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k \{(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma))\} a_n \leq 1 - \beta.$$

Note that

$$(1 - \beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k \{(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma))\} a_n \leq 1 - \beta$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{1 - \beta} = 1.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1}{z-2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(z-w)^n, \\ |f(z)| &= \left| \frac{1}{z-w} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(z-w)^n \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|z-w|} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n|z-w|^n \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r} + r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{1}{r} + r \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &= \left| \frac{1}{z-w} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(z-w)^n \right| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{|z-w|} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n|z-w|^n \\ &\geq \frac{1}{r} - r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \geq \frac{1}{r} - r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{r} - r \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r} + r$$

which yields the inequality (3.1).

Theorem 3.2 : *If $f \in ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then*

$$\frac{1}{r^2} - 1 \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r^2} + 1. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof : We have

$$|f'(z)| = \left| \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n (z-w)^{n-1} \right| \leq \frac{1}{r^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n. \quad (3.3)$$

Since, $f(z) \in ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k \{(1+\gamma-\alpha(1-\gamma))n + (\alpha(1-\gamma) - (\beta+\gamma))\} a_n \\ &\leq 1-\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n \leq \frac{1-\beta}{1-\beta} = 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Substituting (3.4) in (3.3), we get

$$|f'(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r^2} + 1. \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly,

$$|f'(z)| \geq \frac{1}{r^2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n \geq \frac{1}{r^2} - 1.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

4. Extreme Points for $ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

Theorem 4.1 : *If*

$$f_0(z) = \frac{1}{z-w}$$

and

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z-w} - \frac{1-\beta}{n^k\{(1+\gamma-\alpha(1-\gamma))n+(\alpha(1-\gamma)-(\beta+\gamma))\}}(z-w)^n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Then $f \in ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, if and only if it can be represented in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z),$$

where λ_n and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1$.

Proof : Suppose f can be expressed in (4.1). Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left\{ \frac{1}{z-w} - \frac{1-\beta}{n^k\{(1+\gamma-\alpha(1-\gamma))n+(\alpha(1-\gamma)-(\beta+\gamma))\}}(z-w)^n \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{z-w} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \frac{1-\beta}{n^k\{(1+\gamma-\alpha(1-\gamma))n+(\alpha(1-\gamma)-(\beta+\gamma))\}}(z-w)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^k\{(1+\gamma-\alpha(1-\gamma))n+(\alpha(1-\gamma)-(\beta+\gamma))\}\lambda_n}{1-\beta} \times \\ &\frac{1-\beta}{n^k\{(1+\gamma-\alpha(1-\gamma))n+(\alpha(1-\gamma)-(\beta+\gamma))\}} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1 - \lambda_0 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f \in ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Conversely, suppose $f \in ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then

$$a_n \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{n^k \{(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma))\}}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and therefore we may set

$$\lambda_n = \frac{n^k \{(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma))\}}{1 - \beta}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and

$$\lambda_0 = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n.$$

Then

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z), \quad (4.2)$$

and hence the proof is complete.

5. Radius of Starlikeness and Convexity

Theorem 5.1 : *If $f \in ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then f is starlikeness of order α in $|z - w| < r_1$, where*

$$r_1 = \inf_{n \geq 1} \left\{ \frac{n^k \{(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma))\} (1 - \alpha)}{(1 - \beta)(n + 2 - \alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n+1}}. \quad (5.1)$$

Proof : It is sufficient to prove that

$$\left| \frac{(z - w)f'(z)}{f(z)} + 1 \right|$$

for $|z - w| < r_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(z - w)f'(z)}{f(z)} + 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + 1)a_n(z - w)^n}{\frac{1}{z - w} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(z - w)^n} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + 1)a_n(z - w)^{n+1}}{1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(z - w)^{n+1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + 1)a_n|z - w|^{n+1}}{1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n|z - w|^{n+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Hence (5.2) holds true if

$$\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)a_n|z-w|^{n+1}}{1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n|z-w|^{n+1}} \leq 1 - \alpha \tag{5.3}$$

or

$$\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+w-\alpha)a_n|z-w|^{n+1}}{1 - \alpha} \leq 1 \tag{5.4}$$

with the aid of (2.1), (5.4) is true if

$$\frac{(n+2-\alpha)a_n|z-w|^{n+1}}{1-\alpha} \leq \frac{n^k\{(1+\gamma-\alpha(1-\gamma))n+(\alpha(1-\gamma)-(\beta+\gamma))\}}{1-\beta} \quad (n \geq 1). \tag{5.5}$$

Solving (5.5) for $|z-w|$, we obtain

$$|z-w| \leq \left\{ \frac{n^k\{(1+\gamma-\alpha(1-\gamma))n+(\alpha(1-\gamma)-(\beta+\gamma))\}(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta)(n+2-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$$

which yields the desired result.

6. Modified Hadamard Product

For functions

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z-w} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,j}(z-w)^n, \quad (j = 1, 2)$$

in the class S_w , we define the modified Hadamard product $f_1 * f_2(z)$ of $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ by

$$f_1(z) * f_2(z) = \frac{1}{z-w} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,1}a_{n,2}(z-w)^n.$$

Then, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1 : *If $f_j(z) \in sT_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma), j = 1, 2$. Then $f_1 * f_2(z) \in ST_w^*(k, \xi, \beta, \gamma)$ where*

$$\xi = \frac{(2-\beta)\{2^k[2(1+\gamma-\alpha(1-\gamma))+(\alpha(1-\gamma)-(\beta+\gamma))]\}-2(1-\beta)^2}{(2-\beta)\{2^k[2(1+\gamma-\alpha(1-\gamma))+(\alpha(1-\gamma)-(\beta+\gamma))]\}-(1-\beta)^2}. \tag{6.1}$$

Proof : Since $f_j(z) \in ST_w^*(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma), j = 1, 2$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k\{(1+\gamma-\alpha(1-\gamma))n+(\alpha(1-\gamma)-(\beta+\gamma))\}a_{n,j} \leq (1-\beta), \quad (j = 1, 2) \tag{6.2}$$

The Cauchy-chwartz inequality leads to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^k \{(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma))\}}{1 - \beta} \sqrt{a_{n,1}a_{n,2}} \leq 1. \quad (6.3)$$

Note that we have to find the largest ξ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^k \{(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma))\}}{1 - \xi} \sqrt{a_{n,1}a_{n,2}} \leq 1. \quad (6.4)$$

Therefore, in view of (6.3) and (6.4), if

$$\frac{n - \xi}{1 - \xi} \sqrt{a_{n,1}a_{n,2}} \leq \frac{n - \beta}{1 - \beta} \quad (n \geq 2), \quad (6.5)$$

then (6.4) is satisfied. We have, from (6.3)

$$\sqrt{a_{n,1}a_{n,2}} \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{n^k \{(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma))\}}. \quad (6.6)$$

Thus if

$$\frac{n - \xi}{1 - \xi} \left[\frac{1 - \beta}{n^k \{(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma))\}} \right] \leq \frac{n - \beta}{1 - \beta} \quad (n \geq 2) \quad (6.7)$$

or, if

$$\xi \leq \frac{(n - \beta) \{n^k \{(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma))\} - n(1 - \beta)^2\}}{(n - \beta) \{n^k \{(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma))\} - (1 - \beta)^2\}} = \Phi(n), n \geq 2$$

then (6.3) is satisfied. Letting we see that $\Phi(n)$ is increasing on n . This implies that

$$\xi \leq \Phi(2) = \frac{(2 - \beta) \{2^k [2(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - 2(1 - \beta)^2] - (1 - \beta)^2\}}{(2 - \beta) \{2^k [2(1 + \gamma - \alpha(1 - \gamma))n + (\alpha(1 - \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma))] - (1 - \beta)^2\}}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. W. Goodman, On uniformly starlike functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **155**(1991), 364-370.
- [2] A. W. Goodman, On uniformly convex functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **56** (1) (1991), 87-92.
- [3] B. A. Frasin and M. Darus, On certain meromorphic functions with positive coefficients, *South East Asian Bulletin of Math.*, **28** (2004), 615-623.

- [4] B. A. Uralgaddi and M.D. Gangi, A certain class of meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients, *Pure Appl. Math. Sci.*, **26** (1987), 75-81.
- [5] B. A. Uralgaddi and C. Somanatha, New criteria for 11 meromorphic starlike univalent functions, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **43** (1991), 137-140.
- [6] B. A. Uralgaddi and C. Somanatha, Certain differential operators for meromorphic functions, *Houston J. Math.* **17** (1991), 279-284.
- [7] Ch. Pommerenke, On meromorphic starlike functions, *Pacific J. Math.*, **13** (1963), 221-235.
- [8] Ch. Pommerenke, Uber einige klassen meromorfer schlichter funktionen, *Math. Zeitschr.*, **78** (1962), 263-284.
- [9] E. Aqlan, J. M. Jahangiri and S.R.Kulkarni, Class Of KUniformly Convex And Starlikeness Function, *Tamkang Journal Of Mathematics*, **35(3)** (2004), 261-266.
- [10] F. Ghanim and M. Darus, On certain class of analytic function with fixed second positive coefficient, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, **2(2)** (2008), 55-66.
- [11] F. Ghanim and M. Darus, On new subclass of analytic univalent function with negative coefficient I, *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences*, **3(27)** (2008), 1317 - 1329.
- [12] F. Ghanim, M. Darus and S. Sivasubramanian, On new subclass of analytic univalent function, *International J. of Pure and Appl. Math.*, **40(3)** (2007), 307-319.
- [13] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, (Eds.), *Current Topics In Analytic Functions Theory*, World Scientific, Singapore/ New Jersey/ London/ Hong Kong, 1992.
- [14] J. Clunie, On Meromorphic Schlicht Functions, *J. London Math. Soc.* **34** (1959), 215-216.

- [15] J. Miller, Convex meromorphic mappings and related functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25** (1970), 220-228.
- [16] M. Acu and S. Owa, On some subclass of univalent functions, *J. Inequality in Pure and Appl. Math.*, **6** (2005), 1-6.
- [17] M. K. Aouf, On a certain class of meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficient, *Rend. Mat. Appl.*, **7** (11) (1991), 209-219.
- [18] M. L. Mogra, T.R. Reddy, and O. P. Juneja, Meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **32** (1985), 161-176.